

Hayton & Tilm Household Questionnaire – Summary of Responses – Draft 1

The questionnaire was delivered to 150 households in both Hayton and Tilm during week commencing 2nd November 2020. The closing date for responses was 20th November 2020.


In total, 87 responses were received – 58% of total delivered. Responses were both on-line (50%) and paper (50%).

This is considered to be a **very good response**. All the responses came from Hayton. There were no responses from Tilm.


1. Time living in the Parish
 1. There is a relatively even spread of years lived in the parish
 2. 34% have lived in the village over 21 years
 3. 29% have lived in the village less than 5 years
 4. There is movement into the village of new residents which given the absence of any housing development means there is also movement out of the village at a moderate rate.
 5. Similarly with business owners (8) and land owners (9), there is no predominant length of time in the village. These periods also range from 2 to 25+ years.
2. Age group of respondents
 1. 91% of respondents are over the age of 45.
 2. 19.5% of respondents are over the age of 75.
 3. This suggests
 1. Housing will be available as elderly residents pass away or move to elderly care
 2. People moving into the village tend to be “empty nesters” - see below
 3. The village is not attracting younger families to live here – see below
3. Children living in the Parish
 1. 87% of respondents have no children
 2. 3.4% have one child
 3. 9.2% have 2 children
 4. As with the age profile, this suggests a small proportion of village residents are families with children.
 5. The proximity of schooling in Clarbrough is not seen as a limiting factor on families moving into the parish.
 6. The availability of new housing may be a factor.
 7. The age of housing and the need to develop, renovate and maintain properties may be a factor in attracting young families
 8. The location and proximity to countryside and the age profile of the village makes the village attractive to older people – see below
4. Work Status
 1. 71% are either retired (57.5%) or self employed (13.8%)
 2. 22% are employed outside the Parish and only one respondent works in the Parish
 3. 35.6% are employed either self or outside the Parish.
 4. Given the age profile, work status and family status, the village is predominantly non-working, ageing and without dependent children.
5. Travel to work
 1. Of the 35% of working status, use of a car is the major mode of transport to and from work
 2. There is minimal use of public transport, walking or cycling to work.
 3. Given that 75% are not working this question is not applicable to them.
6. Broadband access
 1. 91% see broadband access as important for personal use. This is understandable given

- the predominance of residents not working (75%) and the need to access the internet.
- 2. Only one person sees broadband access as unimportant (! - who is that?)
- 3. Similarly business and those working from home see broadband as important.
- 7. Living in the parish
 - 1. Common, open spaces (60%), lovely countryside (91%) and village atmosphere (64%) are the 3 most like aspects of living in Hayton (and Tilm)
 - 2. Proximity to work (7%) and lifelong residency (6%) are the lowest reasons for living in the village.
 - 3. This probably corresponds with other factors above – working status, age and family status suggests residents move and live here to be away from towns and near the countryside
- 8. Use of facilities in the village
 - 1. Access to public rights of way and proximity to Chesterfield canal are very high on residents use of facilities
 - 2. Use of the mobile library, playing field and associated facilities, church, village hall, millennium field and bus service are the lowest usage.

How often do you or your children use the following facilities or assets in the Parish? (please tick all that apply, in the case of temporarily closed facilities, please state level before closure)




How often do you or your children use the following facilities or assets in the Parish? (please tick all that apply, in the case of temporarily closed facilities, please state level before closure)




3. This suggest that facilities are not aligned to the current needs of the village residents
 4. How can facilities be promoted, changed or improved to gain better utilisation that meets village needs? (Needs further thought)
9. Parish features requiring improvement
1. The four most rated as inadequate are:
 1. Management of traffic
 2. Kerbside and domestic drainage
 3. Dog fouling
 4. Broadband speed


How do you feel about the following features in the Parish?




How do you feel about the following features in the Parish?



How do you feel about the following features in the Parish?



How do you feel about the following features in the Parish?



10. Encouraging new businesses

1. There were 50 responses to this question and the majority (96%) do not want any business development in the village
2. Suggestions for new business were compatible with resident preferences for outdoor, countryside pursuits
 1. Artisan or rural pursuits (2 responses)
 2. Cafe or shop (proximity of Spar, Clarborough) – 1 response

11. Housing


1. Moving Away due to lack of availability
 1. This was not an issue in the village. 95% said it was not applicable
 2. Of the proportion where it was an issue just 2 responses cited housing being too expensive
2. New housing preferences in the Parish
 1. There is a majority support for smaller developments of less than 15 houses and the preferred housing type is for smaller sized properties – starter homes, family homes (2-4 bedroom) and single storey (bungalows). Within the smaller developments there is a desire for more homes for the elderly.
 2. There is a high level of preference for single (in-fill) or small developments of

- individual family homes, starter homes and single storey houses (bungalows).
3. This supports the preference to maintain the character of the village which currently has few housing developments of similar housing types. For example, Church Lane has houses (<10 in 2 styles) of the same type running along the length of the road. Similarly there are same house types running along a section of Smeath Lane. The village has no “estate” housing of any type.
 4. Next is medium sized development (15-30 houses) of which again the preference is for individual family and starter homes.
 5. Whilst there is support for larger developments (30+ houses), this is not strong. Again family and starter homes are the preferred type.
 6. There is no strong support for other types of housing – social, sheltered, care, rental/shared ownership. Where these are preferred, the preference is for individual homes rather than on development sites.
 7. Drawing a conclusion, the majority support smaller development of family or starter homes but in the interests of maintaining the character and feel of the village there is a strong desire for individual style homes vs housing developments of the same or similar properties. This suggests a preference to grow “organically” rather than by larger developments.
3. Sites for development consideration
 1. Development of brownfield sites both in side and outside the village is preferred (av. 59%).
 2. Development of in-fill sites is also a strong preference (45%)
 3. Development of farm buildings is also preferred (65%).
 4. There is a strong preference not to develop any greenfield sites either within or without the villages (av. 75%)
 5. However, across all types there is a significant level of “no preference” (av.22%)
 6. Conclusion: Farm buildings – brownfield sites and infill sites are the preferred options. This again is consistent with maintaining the character of the village whilst not wishing to see farm buildings deteriorate and cease to be used. Hayton is characterised by small farms along the Main Street – many of which have already been converted to residential properties.
 4. Sympathetic building – buildings which maintain the style and type of existing properties.
 1. Every category scored high in importance
 1. Scale - 76%
 2. Character and position - 74%
 3. Design - 71%
 4. Materials - 65%
 5. Maintaining open space – 72%
 2. This is consistent with previous preferences in terms of size of development, maintaining character and housing type.
 5. Preferred housing developments
 1. Smaller detached properties (52%) of a traditional building style (72%) are preferred
 2. There is no strong preference for social housing (10%), smaller terraced (12%) or larger, executive styled detached (20%)
 3. Preference for housing for the elderly (37%) is again strong but they will fall into the same preference criteria of smaller detached, single storey developments.

If new housing development takes place which of the following would you prefer it to be?
(please tick all that apply)



87 responses




6. Development concerns

- Concerns follow other responses. There is a strong preference not to lose the essence of a small village set in the countryside. If there are to be developments, they need to maintain the existing character and feel of a country village in terms of scale, design and character (av. 58% are concerned about loss a Hayton's countryside/village character).
- There is a clear concern on the impact of traffic on the village. (58%). Hayton is a linear village with a through road north and south to Retford and Gainsborough and to villages to the north of Gringley, Misterton, Clayworth and on to Bawtry.
- The impact of any new development on drainage – both domestic and street/land, is a strong concern (57%).

What worries you most about further development within the Parish? (please tick up to 5)

87 responses



7. Questions to potential developers:

1. How will you...?

- Maintain Village Character
- Mitigate impact on village infrastructure – drainage – surface and foul, parking etc.
- Mitigate impact on the environment
- Mitigate the impact of additional Traffic and Parking
- Bring benefits to the village

12. Environment

- Maintaining aspects of Hayton & Tilm Countryside

1. 92% of responses see the maintenance of countryside features as very important
 1. Hedgerows, trees, woodland and Chesterfield Canal
2. Energy source preferences – domestic scale
 1. 77% of responses support domestic scale solar energy compared to 28% for domestic scale wind turbines and/or biomass schemes
3. Energy sources – large scale
 1. Whilst there is no overwhelming support for any large scale energy source development, large scale solar power is the most preferred at 40% of respondents.
 2. There is a majority not supporting large scale wind turbines (69%).
 3. Biomass also doesn't have high support at 20% but also has 34% no preference. Taking the positive biomass is the second most preferred energy development source.
4. Climate change and building developments that help reduce impact on energy emissions (there was an issue with the printed version of the questionnaire which makes possibly 50% of these responses unreliable). However, ..
 1. There is minimal disagreement to any scheme proposed.
 2. The high level of “neither agree nor disagree” is unreliable as respondents could not see the options given.
13. Thoughts and Comments:
 1. These are attached as an appendix. The comments fall into the following categories
 1. Maintaining existing building style, type and materials
 2. Use and maintenance of Pathways
 3. Playing field use
 4. Maintaining a Village atmosphere
 5. Sympathetic development
 6. Traffic management
 7. Facilities for younger adults
 8. Maintaining the village e.g. hedges, pavements etc.

Neighbourhood Planning Steering Group
6th December 2020

The questionnaire and responses are available via Google Forms link. It is currently available to readers with permission.

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1BbYjUBGezRr6gAYOL7oAGHR3h0XVBQVMi_uCg-it2Yc/edit?usp=sharing